

Interwoven (e-ISSN: 2581-9275) is a double blind peer reviewed online interdisciplinary journal of Navrachana University. The publication policy is developed after due deliberations to ensure that both authors and reviewers know their responsibilities and only the original articles get published.

Since Interwoven is a young journal, the policy will get evolved over time. However, all the articles submitted to Interwoven are committed to comply with the following policy.

Publication Charges

There is no Article Processing Charge (APC) to publish an article in Interwoven.

License Agreement and Author Copyright- Upon acceptance of the article, authors sign a Copyright Agreement Form permitting Interwoven Journal to hold the copyright of the published articles in it. All the articles are published under CC BY license (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License) which allows for maximum dissemination and re-use of open access materials. Under this license, contents of the published articles can be shared (copy, distribute and transmit and adapt) freely including for commercial purposes, with proper attribution. Users do not need to notify the authors or the publisher about using the material.

Ethics Policy

Authors are required to understand the ethical policy of the journal before they submit their articles to Interwoven.

1. A manuscript submitted to Interwoven should neither have been published nor submitted elsewhere.
2. Authors should not present any conceptual idea/textual content or results published by others as their own work. Due credit, acknowledgement and citations must be given to the original work. Lack of these mandatory steps will be considered as an act of plagiarism. Each article will be subjected to plagiarism detection software approved and in use by NUV

Editorial and Publication Policy

1. Authors are required to submit their manuscript in Interwoven template along with Author Declaration Form.
2. Articles can be submitted from all the subject domains in categories like Research Articles, Review Articles, Perspectives, Case Studies, Critiques, Book Reviews and Socially relevant community projects.
3. If similar work is published elsewhere, a copy of the publication should be sent along with the citation of the previously published article. The submitted manuscript should

have significant addition of data, analysis, understanding and conclusion as compared to the previous publication.

4. Each article having similarity index obtained by plagiarism detection software, more than 10% (the maximum limit set up by University Grants Commission, India) will be returned to the authors to revise and make a fresh submission.
5. Each article will first be scanned by the Editorial Team for its suitability in an interdisciplinary journal.
6. Owing to the technical language of the STEM subjects, interdisciplinary readability of an article should be viewed through a lens of abstract, introduction, rationale of the article, methodology and broad conclusions.
7. Articles based on controversial topics will have limited scope and need to be approved by Editorial Team. All manuscripts are reviewed in two stages before being accepted for the publication. Those found unfit for the publication are returned after the first round of review.
8. Main affiliation of the author should be the place where most of the research work is done and if the authors have moved on to a new place of work, the new affiliation may also be mentioned.
9. It is expected that all or any 1-2 authors take the responsibility of the research data and its analysis being presented in the manuscript.
10. Authors may suggest up to 3 reviewers. However, the final appointment of the reviewer will be decided by the Editorial Board.
11. Corresponding author will be considered as the point of contact for queries about the published paper.
12. The review process is a two-step process. Each article is reviewed by 3 referees. The first one is from disciplinary background, the second is from interdisciplinary background and the third one is from English language background. The two steps are mentioned below.

Stage 1 - Reviewers go through the manuscript and offer evaluation on publishing parameters set by the Journal Editorial Office. Evaluation is offered in the form of scores and comments in a formatted Evaluation Criteria Form. Reviewers are given two weeks to review and offer their evaluation.

If the evaluation scores exceed the minimum score needed to go for the "revision" round, it is returned to the author with the scores and comments to do revision; else the manuscript is returned to the author. Authors revising the manuscript are given 2 weeks' time to resubmit the manuscript with revisions.

Stage 2 - On receipt of the revised manuscript, it is sent back to the same three reviewers to look over and ensure that the revisions have been made and the manuscript is "fit" for the publication. Manuscripts that have not addressed the comments are returned for re-submission. Reviewers will have one week to review the revised manuscript. In rare cases and if required, authors may be asked by the Reviewers to do second revision.

Stage 3- If the manuscript gets accepted, it is sent for Proof making and final publication on the Interwoven website.

*The timeline for the review process is tentative. However, based on issues related to reviewer identification, reviewer availability, delay in submission of revised manuscript may prolong the review process and consequently the decision on the manuscript.

13. In case contradictory or non-convincing reviews are obtained, a fourth reviewer will be contacted who may be from disciplinary or interdisciplinary background as is required
14. Reviewers are required to submit their critical feedback in the objective and subjective form in the feedback form.
15. Based on reviewer reports, final decision of the acceptability and rejection of the manuscript for publication will be taken by Chief Editor.
16. Authors can make an appeal if they are not convinced of the review process that has fetched a rejection of their article.
17. The revision time given to the authors for minor revision will be 2 weeks and for major revision can be maximum 2 months. If the manuscript is not returned after revision by the author within stipulated time, it will be removed from the review cycle.
18. In case the manuscript is submitted by the Chief Editor, the process of review process will be conducted by Editor (Publication).